Annex 3	1) Which is your preferred option on the position of trees?	preferred option on the raised area?	3) Do you support the inclusion of limited cafe seating regulated by the council?	If you selected b) above, please suggest another location for the cafe seating:	4) Are there any aspects of the design that you particularly like?	aspects of the design that you particularly dislike?	6) Are there any other factors regarding Kings Square that should be considered?	7) Are you	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
chris4jg (ref C1)	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	c) no		performers.	cafe area. All that is needed is more public seating (having taken some away for the opening of the Choc. Story)for pedestrians who have bought food from the many food outlets around the square. 2)Replacing the trees means removing full grown ones and planting young ones	King's Square is right in the centre of York and it always had people looking at it. 2) How will you police any parking on the Square in the evenings (which does happen now) especially if you are removing some of the parking on the street?		b) no

Annex 3	1) Which is your preferred option on the position of trees?		3) Do you support the inclusion of limited cafe seating regulated by the council?	If you selected b) above, please suggest another location for the cafe seating:	·	5) Are there any aspects of the design that you particularly dislike?		7) Are you	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
colininyork (ref C2)	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	c) no		I like the reduction of number of cars parked along the side of the square	any cafe tables or	As a city guide, I am one of up to a dozen guides taking parties of tourists through this area each day during the summer. Sometimes it is quite difficult to keep the group together and it would be even more difficult if we have to maneuver between tables and chairs.		b) no
aemh1(ref C3)	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road	a) remove the raised burial area and two raised trees in order to return the space to ground level	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan		Overall, I think this is a good scheme, especially: new paving to give emphasis to pedestrian traffic; opening out of area; removal of clutter; restriction of disabled parking to specific bays			a) a York resident	b) no

Annex 3	1) Which is your preferred option on the position of trees?		3) Do you support the inclusion of limited cafe seating regulated by the council?	If you selected b) above, please suggest another location for the cafe seating:	4) Are there any aspects of the design that you particularly like?	5) Are there any aspects of the design that you particularly dislike?	6) Are there any other factors regarding Kings Square that should be considered?	7) Are you	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
Hereward (ref C4)	b) leave them positioned where they are		shown on the plan		replace tarmac. Retaining raised area - used by performers and preferred by visitors/workers to sit and chat, eat sandwiches etc. It also ensures a clear passage to the Shambles etc - if removed the square	box and phone box - just get rid of them, please. Post box does look appropriate. New King's Court setts sweep out onto road of King's Square. Looks very clumsy and people will follow pattern straight out into traffic. I would prefer no traffic at all during day, but if it is to continue as now, King's Square road should be paved the same as the the crossroads, with granite. Alternatively use a more local sett for both King's square road and the raised crossroads. omitting the granite. This	jams at times. I really hope the city can do something about this. York's pedestrian area is now poorer than many other cities, where once we were ahead. I have helped a disabled man (walks with two sticks) in King's square. The sheer clutter made navigation difficult, but crossing the road with so many cars driving too fast really bothered him. I'm disappointed that parking places are being provided - it will never feel like a		b) no
guest (ref C5)	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	c) no				Please retain seating as this is always well used and is a boon for people with mobility issues		a) yes
guest (ref C6)	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road	a) remove the raised burial area and two raised trees in order to return the space to ground level	c) no		Looks very open and an inviting space to pass through and spend time in. I like the paving and removal of dropped kerbs/paveemnt to open the space up.		There should be an information plaque/display of some sort to explain the historical significance of the square.	a) a York resident	b) no

Annex 3	1) Which is your preferred option on the position of trees?	2) Which is your preferred option on the raised area?	3) Do you support the inclusion of limited cafe seating regulated by the council?	If you selected b) above, please suggest another location for the cafe seating:	-	5) Are there any aspects of the design that you particularly dislike?	other factors	7) Are you	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
guest (C7)	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road	a) remove the raised burial area and two raised trees in order to return the space to ground level	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan		That it makes the whole area an even surface and increases the available usable space		some public art in this space which does not		b) no
guest (C8)	d) no opinion	d) no opinion	d) no opinion				Access for emergency vehicles should be maintained as per current arrangements and any fire hydrants should reamain in place.		b) no
guest (C9)	b) leave them positioned where they are	c) do not support either option	c) no					a) a York resident	b) no

Annex 3	1) Which is your preferred option on the position of trees?	preferred option on the raised area?	3) Do you support the inclusion of limited cafe seating regulated by the council?	above, please	4) Are there any aspects of the design that you particularly like?	aspects of the design that you particularly dislike?	1 '	7) Are you	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
guest (C10)	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	c) no	Please can we retain Kings Square without ANY cafe seating? There are enough areas (eg St Sampson's, Piccadilly) that have such seating that add nothing to the atmosphere and obstruct the free flow of pedestrins.		outdoor cafe seating.	It's a great area for performers who add something original to York's attractions - ensure that this is retined in Kings Square. (I have no finncial interest in any of the street artists, nor am I related to any of them).	a) a York resident	b) no
guest (C11)	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan		I particularly like the use of stone paving and granite setts in the roadway. It will certainly make the square feel more like a "square" for pedestrians. The floor area is in a poor state of repair right now and this would certainly make the square be a much more social and pedestrian-friendly space.	like the idea of removing the raised part of the square. The raised part gives a very unique "feel" to the square, affords some privacy on the	No, thank you.	a) a York resident	b) no

Annex 3	1) Which is your preferred option on the position of trees?	2) Which is your preferred option on the raised area?	3) Do you support the inclusion of limited cafe seating regulated by the council?	If you selected b) above, please suggest another location for the cafe seating:	4) Are there any aspects of the design that you particularly like?	aspects of the design that you particularly dislike?		7) Are you	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
guest (C12)		b) retain but refurbish the raised area	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan				Kings Suare should be free of traffic during the day (after 10.00am) but so should Goodramgate. Disabled parking provision should be made on St Andrewgate	d) employed in York	b) no
guest (C13)	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	c) no		to tidy up the paving is good but there are worse areas e.g. Stonegate which is braking up and Low Petergate with	to have overestimated the space available it is clear from the recent photo that the main problem with Kings Square is too much clutter - stalls and A boards. If it is to be a performance area then they need to go, the space is simply			b) no

Annex 3	1) Which is your preferred option on the position of trees?	2) Which is your preferred option on the raised area?	3) Do you support the inclusion of limited cafe seating regulated by the council?	If you selected b) above, please suggest another location for the cafe seating:	1 .	5) Are there any aspects of the design that you particularly dislike?	6) Are there any other factors regarding Kings Square that should be considered?	7) Are you	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
guest (C14)	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road	a) remove the raised burial area and two raised trees in order to return the space to ground level	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan		Particularly like the priority given to pedestrians and easy mobility.	Regret the loss of mature trees, but see that their replacement might well be an improvement. Thought should be given to replacements to ensure that they don't eventually impact on pavement / road surfaces. Is there a need to retain the 1 telephone box and cash machine?	reduction in clutter, unnecessary signage, street furniture etc high quality designed street furniture - cafe seating should be carefully regulated by the council, and prepared to review if necessary.	a) a York resident	b) no
guest (C15)	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	c) no					a) a York resident	b) no
guest (C16)	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees	a) remove the raised burial area and two raised trees in order to return the space to ground level	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan		ground'.	Yes, the retention of the cash machine and one telephone kiosk. Could these not be positioned just into St Andrewgate? Security for the former would be covered by CCTV surveillance of the cycle racks. Both of the kiosks are far to 'gaudy' and out of keeping with the Square.	of the street lighting - I hope the street lighting could	a) a York resident	b) no

Annex 3	1) Which is your preferred option on the position of trees?	2) Which is your preferred option on the raised area?	3) Do you support the inclusion of limited cafe seating regulated by the council?	If you selected b) above, please suggest another location for the cafe seating:	4) Are there any aspects of the design that you particularly like?	aspects of the design that you particularly dislike?	6) Are there any other factors regarding Kings Square that should be considered?	7) Are you	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
guest (C17)	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road	a) remove the raised burial area and two raised trees in order to return the space to ground level	c) no	NOT APPLICABLE.	1 '''	paving & landscaping of this area will greatly enhance this area of York.	A)Suggest replacement of telephone kiosks with classic British type or remove if usage is low. There is no real need for three given that most people now have mobile phones! B)Replace concrete paving with York stone (planned). C)Remarked disabled parking bay - Many disabled people abuse parking badges and this should be enforced.		b) no
guest (C18)	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	c) no		Paving demarcations, flat surfaces, built in seating.	Removing burial ground, as it is part of York's history.		a) a York resident	b) no
harryo3 (C19)	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan		I'm afraid that I didn't look closely enough at the plan - would be helpful to have a link to that on this page.		It is an important meeting and entertainment space but it is scuffy and dirty now. The stalls in the space clutter it up and should be moved into the disabled parking areas.	a) a York resident	b) no
yorkfox (C20)	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	c) no					a) a York resident	b) no

Annex 3		preferred option on the raised area?	3) Do you support the inclusion of limited cafe seating regulated by the council?	If you selected b) above, please suggest another location for the cafe seating:	4) Are there any aspects of the design that you particularly like?	aspects of the design that you particularly dislike?	1 -	7) Are you	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
bikerider1 (C21)	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan					a) a York resident	b) no
waltons (C22)	b) leave them positioned where they are	c) do not support either option	c) no					a) a York resident	b) no
nowell (C23)	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road	a) remove the raised burial area and two raised trees in order to return the space to ground level	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan		· ·	basalt pieces of roadway, a feature of many of the historic core streets, is a loss, as they act as a reminder of old York.	Holy Trinity Church and is, as such, consecrated ground.		b) no

Annex 3	preferred option on	preferred option on the raised area?	3) Do you support the inclusion of limited cafe seating regulated by the council?	above, please	4) Are there any aspects of the design that you particularly like?	aspects of the design that you particularly dislike?		7) Are you	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
Hellenicus (C24)	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	c) no	good for sitting and watching street performers. Why should a commercial outlet "own" part of	Reduction of parking especially on the Square itself by delivery vehicles (it happens) Retention of existing food quiosks which have character	trees. They take a long time to grow. Without them and the raised area the Square will look bare and uninteresting. They supply welcome shade in summer and would not serve any purpose close to the carriageway. Have the people who thought up this scheme ever sat in	This is a small intimate environment not suitable for large "pedestrian activities" More seating would certainly be welcome since most of that in Parliament St has been removed. You seem to think that pedestrians do not need to sit down and look at the scenery which York provides (and have a rest) Not all of us are in the prime of life!		a) yes
richardcbailey (C25)	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	c) no		Inclusion of seating Prioritisation of pedestrians		Its public performance role is of major importance; to allow cafe seating would conflict with this and should not be contemplated	a) a York resident	b) no
maggiehyett (C26)	c) do not support either option	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan					a) a York resident	c) prefer not to say

Annex 3	1) Which is your preferred option on the position of trees?	preferred option on the raised area?	3) Do you support the inclusion of limited cafe seating regulated by the council?	If you selected b) above, please suggest another location for the cafe seating:	4) Are there any aspects of the design that you particularly like?	aspects of the design that you particularly dislike?	6) Are there any other factors regarding Kings Square that should be considered?	7) Are you	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
GrahamHuntington01 (C27)	d) no opinion	d) no opinion	c) no			seated areas in the city centre, given the regular markets and	It is nice to have an area for performers that has a defined area plus a raised 'stage'.	a) a York resident	b) no
JuneT (C28)	b) leave them positioned where they are	1 ' ' '	c) no	I don't want the Chocolate Museum to have any cafe space in the square.	road and pavement surfaces. Save the money for more urgent changes. As a	that there are sufficient accessible car parking spaces. These are being reduced all over town and I am very concerned that disabled drivers will have very reduced access to town and will therefore have no option but to drive to out of town shopping	previous tree and it would be a shame and a waste of money to mess around with it. I am a York resident AND a business owner -	a) a York resident	b) no
ianburgess56 (C29)	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	c) no		Maintenance of existing kiosks, entertainers and raised area. Re-paving of the whole square.	opinion gives the square its unique character, and should be retained.	The raised area itself provides extensive seating which it would be difficult to replace with benches. Benches under trees get fouled very quickly and can become unusable. The surface badly needs re-paving	,	b) no

Annex 3	1) Which is your preferred option on the position of trees?		3) Do you support the inclusion of limited cafe seating regulated by the council?	If you selected b) above, please suggest another location for the cafe seating:	1 '	aspects of the design that you particularly dislike?	6) Are there any other factors regarding Kings Square that should be considered?	7) Are you	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
Johnmasiak (C30)	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road	a) remove the raised burial area and two raised trees in order to return the space to ground level	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan					a) a York resident	b) no
wendydean (C31)	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	c) no			seating area.	Kings Square needs to be retained as an are which all the public can enjoy. I think a cleanup is needed but the area should continue to be used by the buskers to entertain the crowds. I don't think the idea of cafe seeting is a good one as it would ruin the area.		c) prefer not to say
thornhamgg (C32)	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	c) no		would like to retain the current feel of the square with area for street entertainment. like the ideas re the junctions	should be retained and not tampered		a) a York resident	b) no
jenniemacfadyen (C33)	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	c) no					a) a York resident	b) no

Annex 3	1) Which is your preferred option on the position of trees?		3) Do you support the inclusion of limited cafe seating regulated by the council?	If you selected b) above, please suggest another location for the cafe seating:	aspects of the design	aspects of the design that you particularly	-	7) Are you	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
trevortaylor03 (C34)	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	c) no			Extremely worried about the prposed removal of several mature trees, this is not acceptable in my mind. The area is too small an area to accomodate any cafe seating if it is also used to be used effectively for street performance. The raised area is a natural stage for performance and the removal of it would be to the detriment of future street performance, it needs to be retained.		a) a York resident	b) no
blairphil (C35)	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	d) no opinion		Levelling off the existing road/pavement areas.		Maintaining through access for vehicles from both Goodramgate and High Petergate, which are used by Churchgoers at the Minster, St Michael le Belfrey and St Wilfreds on a Sunday morning, and whose services finish early enough for egress to be completed before footstreet restrictions apply.		b) no

Annex 3	preferred option on	T	the inclusion of limited cafe seating regulated by the	If you selected b) above, please suggest another location for the cafe seating:	aspects of the design	aspects of the design that you particularly dislike?	1 '	7) Are you	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
marys (C36)	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	shown on the plan	If cafe-style seating is added, it should be free for everyone to use e.g. to eat picnic/food bought from kiosks, not limited to a specific company e.g. the chocolate museum.				a) a York resident	b) no
guest (C37)	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan		Removal of the phone boxes, etc.	Apart from the reminder that a church once stood here, it makes an ideal stage for the performers.	It is important that the historic atmosphere is retained. It is a special little area and will not benefit from being "tarted up". A tidy-up is acceptable, though.	a) a York resident	b) no
guest (C38)	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	c) no					a) a York resident	b) no
guest (C39)		b) retain but refurbish the raised area	c) no				Pigeons need to be reduced as much as possible. More seating required - the walls of raised area act as seating areas at the moment but are not enough.	a) a York resident	b) no
guest (C40)		b) retain but refurbish the raised area	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan		l. o.	controlled	how the area will become more lively in the evenings. What about a screen for filme shows?	a) a York resident	b) no

Annex 3	1) Which is your preferred option on the position of trees?	2) Which is your preferred option on the raised area?	3) Do you support the inclusion of limited cafe seating regulated by the council?	If you selected b) above, please suggest another location for the cafe seating:	4) Are there any aspects of the design that you particularly like?	5) Are there any aspects of the design that you particularly dislike?		7) Are you	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
guest (C41)	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan		The level surface and proposed materials for both the road and pedestrian areas.			a) a York resident	b) no
guest (C42)	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road	a) remove the raised burial area and two raised trees in order to return the space to ground level	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan		paving/level access will join up the area and make it feel bigger and be more accessible	Hoping if trees are moved, they can replant them - it needs trees	Control of cars needed, and ensuring no bad parking takes place	a) a York resident	b) no
guest (C43)	c) do not support either option	c) do not support either option	c) no					a) a York resident	b) no
guest (C44)	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan					a) a York resident	b) no
guest (C45)	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road	a) remove the raised burial area and two raised trees in order to return the space to ground level	c) no	Cafe seating will just lead to more litter and will be taken over by smokers	vehicles passing through. Opening up the space, getting rid of clutter. Including more benches (put in	machine when the idea is to get rid of clutter? There are plenty of banks and		a) a York resident	b) no
guest (C46)	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road	a) remove the raised burial area and two raised trees in order to return the space to ground level	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan					a) a York resident	b) no

Annex 3	1) Which is your preferred option on the position of trees?		3) Do you support the inclusion of limited cafe seating regulated by the council?	If you selected b) above, please suggest another location for the cafe seating:	4) Are there any aspects of the design that you particularly like?	aspects of the design	6) Are there any other factors regarding Kings Square that should be considered?	7) Are you	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
guest (C47)	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	d) no opinion					a) a York resident	b) no
guest (C48)	c) do not support either option	c) do not support either option	c) no					a) a York resident	b) no
guest (C49)	d) no opinion	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	d) no opinion				Do we really have Money for this?	a) a York resident	b) no
guest (C50)	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road	d) no opinion	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan				Although there will be 2 phone boxes retained, I do not think they should be used as general advertising hoardings. Does that not require planning permission? I am surprised this is permitted in what must surely be a conservation area. The products advertised cheapen the look of the square, and will certainly not be in keeping with the newly revamped square. I am pretty certain I would not be allowed to sell advertising space on the side of my building. If the raised area is kept, then it would make more		b) no
guest (C51)	c) do not support either option	c) do not support either option	c) no				The roadway is narrow as it is, stop wasting money leave it alone.	a) a York resident	b) no
guest (C52)	d) no opinion	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan					a) a York resident	b) no

Annex 3	1) Which is your preferred option on the position of trees?	-	the inclusion of limited cafe seating	If you selected b) above, please suggest another location for the cafe seating:	4) Are there any aspects of the design that you particularly like?	5) Are there any aspects of the design that you particularly dislike?		7) Are you	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
guest (C53)	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	c) no					a) a York resident	b) no
guest (C54)	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan					a) a York resident	b) no
guest (C55)	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road		a) yes, in the location shown on the plan					a) a York resident	b) no
guest (C56)	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan		The better placement of the trees and opening up the space.	Not sure about the raised part?	How about a tourist board at the entrance area to The Shambles big enough to direct tourists but small enough as to not block any sight.	a) a York resident	b) no
guest (C57)	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan					a) a York resident	b) no

Annex 3	1) Which is your preferred option on the position of trees?	1 *	the inclusion of	If you selected b) above, please suggest another location for the cafe seating:	4) Are there any aspects of the design that you particularly like?	'	6) Are there any other factors regarding Kings Square that should be considered?	7) Are you	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
guest (C58)	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	c) no		Improving the road and pavement surfaces.	The removal of the burial area, which takes away the history of the square, and is disrespectful of the people buried there.			b) no
guest (C59)	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan				The cafe seating should be given to a locally owned cafe and not a coffee shop chain	a) a York resident	b) no

Annex 3	1) Which is your preferred option on the position of trees?	-	3) Do you support the inclusion of limited cafe seating regulated by the council?	If you selected b) above, please suggest another location for the cafe seating:	aspects of the design	aspects of the design that you particularly dislike?		7) Are you	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
guest (C60)	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	b) yes, but in another location (please explain below)	More central. Move street performers to Parliament Street where there is more space and make King's Square a place to linger and rest. Continued use of the square as a performance space will inevitably restrict access to public seating and impede pedestrian progress through the square.			See comments at 4 above.	a) a York resident	b) no
guest (C61)	d) no opinion	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan					a) a York resident	b) no
guest (C62)	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road	a) remove the raised burial area and two raised trees in order to return the space to ground level	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan		Refurbishment of surfaces, removal of street furniture.		If the raised area is removed can the retained cash machine/post box/telephone booth be relocated so that they are against one of the buildings, rather than been left in the middle of the more open space?	a) a York resident	b) no

Annex 3	1) Which is your preferred option on the position of trees?	2) Which is your preferred option on the raised area?	3) Do you support the inclusion of limited cafe seating regulated by the council?	If you selected b) above, please suggest another location for the cafe seating:	1 '	aspects of the design that you particularly dislike?	6) Are there any other factors regarding Kings Square that should be considered?	7) Are you	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
guest (C63)	c) do not support either option	a) remove the raised burial area and two raised trees in order to return the space to ground level	c) no			bays - can't they be provided close to but outside the square. Retention of trees. In a relatively small urban area natural vegetation is more of an hindrance than a help. Is it not possible to route traffic away	steel so that people can rest and watch the world go by. Existing seating is always full during main visitor times	a) a York resident	b) no
guest (C64)	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan		will ensure that Kings Court and more importantly, the Roman fortress line, will be marked in the paved surface (though the plan does not give information about the material to	area to ground level. The path behind the raised area is one of the distinctive features of the square and is well used as a pedestrian through route. I would be concerned that if the whole area were to be flattened some of the square's charm would be lost.	performers to be heard without amplification. It would become		b) no

Annex 3			3) Do you support the inclusion of limited cafe seating regulated by the council?	If you selected b) above, please suggest another location for the cafe seating:	aspects of the design	aspects of the design that you particularly dislike?	other factors	7) Are you	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
guest (C65)	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan				One of the major assets of the square is the raised area and the burials that it covers. The area gives the square its sense of history, which is an essential attraction, standing as it does at the top of the Shambles. To remove the raised area and any burials would be desecration. On the contaray its graveyard nature should be emphasised with, as a minimum, refurbishment of the existing grave stones.		b) no

Annex 3	1) Which is your preferred option on the position of trees?	2) Which is your preferred option on the raised area?	3) Do you support the inclusion of limited cafe seating regulated by the council?	If you selected b) above, please suggest another location for the cafe seating:	4) Are there any aspects of the design that you particularly like?	5) Are there any aspects of the design that you particularly dislike?	6) Are there any other factors regarding Kings Square that should be considered?	7) Are you	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
guest (C66)	b) leave them positioned where they are	c) do not support either option	c) no	I didn't select b, but if the whole point is to reclaim the area for pedestrians, cluttering it with cafe seating is the worst possible thing to do	fine as it is, it just needs a little TLC, a few benches and not	Claiming the idea is to make it better for pedestrians, but leaving stalls near the main Shambles/Market artery is stupid, put the stalls under the trees near the road (which wouldn't matter if it's pedestrianised) and you open up the main part of the square, instead of creating a bottleneck as you head to/from the Shambles	ground is there for a reason, removing it would be disrespectful and wrong just for the sake of pure greed	a) a York resident	b) no
guest (C67)	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	c) no		Improvements to lighting and the historical interpretation aspect.	Restrictions to vehicular access/parking. Removal of burial ground. Removal of trees.	The work will be very disruptive for local business, who have already put up with months of noise and dust during the work on "Chocolate". The square would really benefit from an increase in litter bins.	c) a business owner	b) no
guest (C68)	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan					a) a York resident	b) no
guest (C69)	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan				The loss of seats prevented my wife and I from visiting the centre	a) a York resident	a) yes

Annex 3	1) Which is your preferred option on the position of trees?	2) Which is your preferred option on the raised area?	3) Do you support the inclusion of limited cafe seating regulated by the council?	If you selected b) above, please suggest another location for the cafe seating:	4) Are there any aspects of the design that you particularly like?	aspects of the design that you particularly dislike?	6) Are there any other factors regarding Kings Square that should be considered?	7) Are you	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
guest (C70)	b) leave them positioned where they are	· ·	c) no					a) a York resident	b) no
guest (C71)	d) no opinion	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	c) no	While I've ticked c), my real concern is that cafe seating should be available to ALL cafes operating on the Square, and not just the Chocolate Experience people. I have been a customer of Harlequin cafe since it opened, and as a much-loved local business, I am concerned that nothing should be done which undermines its position (there are already too many chain cafes in York)				a) a York resident	b) no

Annex 3	preferred option on	preferred option on	3) Do you support the inclusion of limited cafe seating regulated by the council?	If you selected b) above, please suggest another location for the cafe seating:	4) Are there any aspects of the design that you particularly like?	aspects of the design that you particularly dislike?	6) Are there any other factors regarding Kings Square that should be considered?	7) Are you	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
guest (C72)	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	d) no opinion		road, a shared public space, with priority for pedestrians and limits on parking	strongest possible terms to the removal of the trees, inparticular the three large trees that have been there for many years. The tree canopy is extremely important to provide shade, shelter and a	by night. Coloured (solar powered) lights in the trees would enhance that; also seating. Will bands be able to perform there?		b) no
guest (C73)	b) leave them positioned where they are	c) do not support either option	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan				This project involves totally uneccessary expenditure by CoYC in this time of cuts to budgets and core services. The money would be better spent on services for the citizens of York rather than esoteric designs for visitors	a) a York resident	b) no

Annex 3	1) Which is your preferred option on the position of trees?	preferred option on the raised area?	3) Do you support the inclusion of limited cafe seating regulated by the council?	If you selected b) above, please suggest another location for the cafe seating:	4) Are there any aspects of the design that you particularly like?	aspects of the design that you particularly dislike?		7) Are you	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
guest (C74)	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	d) no opinion				I think it is worthy but unjustifiable expense at this time and should be suspended until there is a better financial climate.	a) a York resident	c) prefer not to say
guest (C75)	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan		Paving the junction and expanding the square, reducing the road width and smartening up the area.	trees, other than the young 'paper mulberry' tree. The one on the raised area is a significant landscape feature for the whole square and the vista entering it from the shambles and market. Removing the raised area would impact on the survival of this tree and not serve any really useful purpose. The bench and other clutter	alongside Colliergate are perhaps only 30-40 years old and may not be ideally placed for the new design they are significant features and with time will grow to replace the older mature tree on the raised area when it		b) no

Annex 3	1) Which is your preferred option on the position of trees?	2) Which is your preferred option on the raised area?	3) Do you support the inclusion of limited cafe seating regulated by the council?	above, please suggest another	aspects of the design that you particularly	aspects of the design	_ ·	7) Are you	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
guest (C76)	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan	If this cannot be done fairly - and let	raised burial area is welcome. Could there be a discreet memorial to those buried there.	Look at Coney Street in a rain storm to see how confused pedestrians are when the street is awash, and a vehicle comes by. The Shambles is a great example of how to remind pedestrians that it is a working street.	trees. York is far too ready to fell trees. Just take a look at the Darlington to Thirsk road to see how mature trees can look. Please clean the working parts of the litter bins daily. They are a disgrace. Please dispense with	a) a York resident	b) no
guest (C77)	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan		Improved pedestrian access and better control of traffic.		This project is largely for visitors and tourists, not residents. In a time of severe cash shortage, I think the money that would be spent here, and presumably financed by York residents, would be better spent helping the York residents.	a) a York resident	b) no

Annex 3	1) Which is your preferred option on the position of trees?	_ ·	3) Do you support the inclusion of limited cafe seating regulated by the council?	If you selected b) above, please suggest another location for the cafe seating:	4) Are there any aspects of the design that you particularly like?	5) Are there any aspects of the design that you particularly dislike?	6) Are there any other factors regarding Kings Square that should be considered?	7) Are you	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
guest (C78)	c) do not support either option	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan					a) a York resident	b) no
guest (C79)	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	c) no					a) a York resident	b) no
guest (C80)	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan					a) a York resident	b) no
guest (C81)	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	c) no			it seems to work as it is - just enforce the parking and traffic regulations. Spend the money on something worthwhile for the residents like keeping the green bin collection		a) a York resident	b) no
guest (C82)	d) no opinion	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	c) no		Natural performance area	Need to enclose the viewing & performing areas to allow pedestrians to walk by, eg more peripheral seating / fencing to create	Relocate or remove the telephone booth most people have Mobiles and its an obstruction. More litter bins - it's a favourite spot for eating, but only 1 litter bin	a) a York resident	b) no
LEAFLET Ref L1	d) no opinion	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan		That there is more priority for pedestrians		More information about its history on site	a) a York resident	b) no

Annex 3	1) Which is your preferred option on the position of trees?	2) Which is your preferred option on the raised area?	the inclusion of limited cafe seating regulated by the	If you selected b) above, please suggest another location for the cafe seating:	4) Are there any aspects of the design that you particularly like?	1 '	6) Are there any other factors regarding Kings Square that should be considered?	7) Are you	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
Ref L2	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road	a) remove the raised burial area and two raised trees in order to return the space to ground level	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan		Making it all level and extension to junction. Cafe seating not next to one cafe - should be for everyone. Removal of trees	cut space and cars will spoil look, will be ugly part next to	Ban cyclists during footstreet hours and enforce ban, only have 2 trees so space stays open and doesn't get covered in autumn leaves. Have moveable flower tubs instead	a) a York resident	b) no
Ref L3	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan		elminating car parking spaces on street	Any interference with the raised burial area which provides an platform for performers		a) a York resident	b) no
Ref L4	d) no opinion	d) no opinion		I only support outdoor seating, next to shop's if a 'no smoking' policy is imposed. Retail forces their doors to be open all day. This results in staff breathing in the smoke from outside. Also street performers don't want smoke. or pedestrians.	I love the trees (rare in York streets). I am also proud of all the natrual materials used in the plan. Yorkstone is good. Although expensive, the square is small enough to showcase it.	I would feel ashamed if concrete was used, no more stonebows eh!	Daily reomoval of chewing gum enforced - Also making the square a no smoking zone would make it better. Costa in market square has smokers blowing smoke into the shops opposite choking the staff at the tills. A lesson must be learnt from this.	a) a York resident	b) no
Ref L5	d) no opinion	d) no opinion	c) no			Chocolate museum getting seating outside	It should be an area of quiet NOT amplified noise. Get rid of so-called 'entertainers'	a) a York resident	

Annex 3	1) Which is your preferred option on the position of trees?	1 -	the inclusion of limited cafe seating regulated by the	If you selected b) above, please suggest another location for the cafe seating:	aspects of the design	aspects of the design that you particularly dislike?	1 -	7) Are you	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
Ref L6	d) no opinion	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	c) no	Every introduction of cafe seating seems to mean a reduction in public seating. Inclusivity means space for everyone not just those with money to buy coffee		York after all	I would like to see the 'trackways' retained as they are an interesting feature of this area	a) a York resident	a) yes - Arithritic
Ref L7	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan		Repaving of the area plus clever definition of pedestrian spaces. Improved lighting Remnoval of passageway by Tullivers = slippery when wet	No	Viking Royal Hall not mentioned	a) a York resident	b) no
Ref L8	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road - NO MORE TREES	a) remove the raised burial area and two raised trees in order to return the space to ground level	shown on the plan	Stop cycles during footstreet hours - especially going the "wrong way"	Removal of the trees only have <u>two</u> Don't ruin new Flat space by putting tents on it Like Parliament street 'disappears' regulary	The cost - but worth in it in the end to tidy up a neglected area	Keep the street sweepers doing their good work - but a tough job	a) a York resident	b) no
Ref L9	d) no opinion	d) no opinion			entertainment A main use. The place	07. A space that is a respite for citizens & visitors A place that encourages one to stop and sit		a) a York resident	
Ref L10	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road - Or scrap trees	a) remove the raised burial area and two raised trees in order to return the space to ground level	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan		Removing kerb height 2. Enlarging flat area Butter in the series in the serie	No	Do not give in to more than 2 disabled car spaces	a) a York resident	b) no

Annex 3	preferred option on	preferred option on the raised area?	3) Do you support the inclusion of limited cafe seating regulated by the council?	If you selected b) above, please suggest another location for the cafe seating:	4) Are there any aspects of the design that you particularly like?	-	6) Are there any other factors regarding Kings Square that should be considered?	7) Are you	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
Ref L11	c) do not support either option	a) remove the raised burial area and two raised trees in order to return the space to ground level	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan	It would be a nice area to have chocolate/ coffee - but public seating must always be available			Why pander to street performers? There are too many of them elsewhere and tend to be a pest! Thinking of 1 or 2 in particular	and d) employed in	b) no
Ref L12	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road - Or scrap trees	a) remove the raised burial area and two raised trees in order to return the space to ground level	c) no	would prefer just one cafe location - either would do.	Yes, like the whole design as long as it remains pedestrian for long periods		Would like to see seating at Tullivers end. Would like removal of trader pitch selling candles - more suited to Newgate Market	a) a York resident	b) no
Ref L13	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road - Or scrap trees	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	c) no	The beauty of Kings Square is that it is unstructured space for casual and informal use. Cafe seating would ad formality an restrict the preffered casual use. I do not want to see cafe seating at all.	Changing the number and location of trees would give more usable space.		Kings Square is an excellent public space that has a variety of uses, but is also permeable. It is a meeting place, seating and entertainment place - but the best bit is that these uses are unstructured - which makes it enjoyable.		b) no
Ref L14	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road - Or scrap trees	a) remove the raised burial area and two raised trees in order to return the space to ground level	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan		All of it	No	Disabled spaces (3)	a) a York resident	
Ref L15	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	c) no	No	My wife is isabled, so leave area to park.		Yes, disabled drivers	a) a York resident	a) yes

Annex 3	1) Which is your preferred option on the position of trees?	preferred option on the raised area?	3) Do you support the inclusion of limited cafe seating regulated by the council?	If you selected b) above, please suggest another location for the cafe seating:	4) Are there any aspects of the design that you particularly like?	· ·	6) Are there any other factors regarding Kings Square that should be considered?	7) Are you	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
Ref L16	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road - Or scrap trees	a) remove the raised burial area and two raised trees in order to return the space to ground level	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan		larger level space very worthwhile. Extra seating or	Not clear whether the 'lighting' will be 'boring' or a real feature that adds a little magic to the setting.	Could the revamped Kings Square be flexible enough to enhance one off tourist events in York e.g. Christmas, Viking week etc. Will there be an explanatory board telling visitors the story of Kings Square?		b) no
Ref L17	c) do not support either option	c) do not support either option	c) no				Nowhere in the brochure or on the display in the library is a cost stated. How can opinion be considered without a cost? Would I like a Skoda or a Rolls Royce? If the same price, I'll have a Rolls Royce.	a) a York resident	b) no
Ref L18	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road - Or scrap trees	a) remove the raised burial area and two raised trees in order to return the space to ground level	c) no		Particularly the suggested resurfacing, the seating provision and the retention of trees.	No.	None. Well, one. Substitute 'prohibited' in place of 'discouraged' in relation to parking.	a) a York resident	b) no

Annex 3	T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T		3) Do you support the inclusion of limited cafe seating regulated by the council?	If you selected b) above, please suggest another location for the cafe seating:	4) Are there any aspects of the design that you particularly like?	aspects of the design	-	7) Are you	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
Ref L19	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road - Or scrap trees	a) remove the raised burial area and two raised trees in order to return the space to ground level	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan		Kings Square has always been a disappointment, mainly because pedestrians are always competing with traffic, and fighting against the layout of the square. I like the idea of maikng pedestrians the priority, + feel that this package of measures will go a long way towards this.	an#mount of seating. I prefer it as a space, rather than a large seating area.	It's essential that the square becomes a more important part of the city centre, and not just the home of acts like flame throwers. Kings Square must be improved, and made to feel like part of the city again.		b) no
Ref L20	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	d) no opinion			1) Do not remove the raised burial site. 2) Given that the area by Kings Square is the only place disabled drivers can park if coming from the other side of town, 2 spaces is insufficient. At least 4 spaces should be allowed.		a) a York resident	a) yes
Ref L21	c) do not support either option	c) do not support either option	d) no opinion		None.	Leave it alone.			
Ref L22	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road - Or scrap trees	a) remove the raised burial area and two raised trees in order to return the space to ground level	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan					a) a York resident	b) no

Annex 3	l' '		3) Do you support the inclusion of limited cafe seating regulated by the council?	If you selected b) above, please suggest another location for the cafe seating:	4) Are there any aspects of the design that you particularly like?	aspects of the design	I .	7) Are you	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
Ref L23	c) do not support either option	c) do not support either option	c) no				The 'status quo' at the mooment without any more pending is in my opinion pragmatic and sutainable. Green bage users with serious needs are being squeezed due to the knock on effect of diversion from Blake Street access making their likelihood of enjoying their own city ???? seriously threatened and once again the under provision of disabled parking in Kings Square confirms this.		b) no
Ref L24	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road - Or scrap trees	a) remove the raised burial area and two raised trees in order to return the space to ground level	c) no	would prefer open seating available to all. Are 2 bins sufficient if people are encouraged to picnic?	Less intrusive traffic movements with pedestrians clearly priority over cars/ vans.	gravestones? Can	Is there going to be info panels interpreting past history which was new info to me!	a) a York resident	b) no
Ref L25	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road - Or scrap trees	a) remove the raised burial area and two raised trees in order to return the space to ground level	c) no		Improved pedestrian area.			a) a York resident	b) no
RefL26	b) leave them positioned where they are	c) do not support either option	c) no		No.	Burial sites should not be interfered with.	Restoring the church would be nice.	a) a York resident	b) no

Annex 3	preferred option on	1 '	3) Do you support the inclusion of limited cafe seating regulated by the council?	If you selected b) above, please suggest another location for the cafe seating:	aspects of the design	aspects of the design that you particularly dislike?	1 -	1 *	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
RefL27	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan			extensively used people sit on the wall to eat. The paved area contains gravestones and should be restored.	The quality of street performers should be monitored. There should be a lot more variety. I sit at the last drop (Inn) and time again the same performers are there. Should be more diverse.		a) yes
RefL28	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	c) no	This would be an excuse for the 'chocolate experience' to extend its operations. There is already enough cafe seating around the area	Like the idea to mark the fortress line. How about a story board on a wall, showing the original church and other historical points of interest?	approach to traffic management!	The trees as existing enhance the appearance and atmosphere. No need to move/remove at great cost for no achievement. Enhance the existing raised area with improved seating and rubbish bins.		b) no
RefL29	we can add replacement trees	a) remove the raised burial area and two raised trees in order to return the space to ground level	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan					a) a York resident	b) no
RefL30		b) retain but refurbish the raised area	c) no		more seating	trees cutting down - they are precious for	Viking achaeology. Also info about	a) a York resident d) employed in York	b) no

Annex 3	1) Which is your preferred option on the position of trees?	2) Which is your preferred option on the raised area?	3) Do you support the inclusion of limited cafe seating regulated by the council?	If you selected b) above, please suggest another location for the cafe seating:	4) Are there any aspects of the design that you particularly like?	aspects of the design that you particularly dislike?		7) Are you	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
RefL31	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road - Or scrap trees	b) retain but refurbish the raised area			1	have suggested replacing the phone boxes with traditional type or removing them altogether.	Marked bays and relocating the trees will improve this	b) a visitor	b) no
RefL32	b) leave them positioned where they are	c) do not support either option	c) no				Leave it alone, it does not need changing. Save the money and put it towards a much needed facility - a bus station for York.		b) no
RefL33	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road - Or scrap trees	a) remove the raised burial area and two raised trees in order to return the space to ground level	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan		To mark Roman fortress line and kings court.			a) a York resident	b) no
RefL34	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road - Or scrap trees	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan		Creating more space for people and improving area as a whole for pedestrians		Please keep cafe seating to a minimum and in location shown. Choc story is an expensive visitor attraction and should not be allowed to take over/dominate kings sq		b) no
RefL35	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	c) no		Paving the square Keep raised area			a) a York resident	b) no

Annex 3	1) Which is your preferred option on the position of trees?	2) Which is your preferred option on the raised area?	the inclusion of limited cafe seating	If you selected b) above, please suggest another location for the cafe seating:	4) Are there any aspects of the design that you particularly like?	· ·	6) Are there any other factors regarding Kings Square that should be considered?	7) Are you	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
RefL36	b) leave them positioned where they are	c) do not support either option	c) no		Historic nature of the raised area and general character of the square		Must keep raised area	a) a York resident	b) no
RefL37	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road - Or scrap trees	d) no opinion						a) a York resident	a) yes
RefL38	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road - Or scrap trees	a) remove the raised burial area and two raised trees in order to return the space to ground level	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan (Underlined 'Limited')		The pedestrianisation, the stone paving, the setts, the expansion and levelling of the square.	The removal of the mulberry tree. This should be replanted somewhere in the square (properly protected)	The police must be vigilant to protect motorists driving through as they already do in coney street, davygate etc despite there being pedestrians in these areas.	a) a York resident	
RefL39	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	c) no			Remove the street trader stalls, except for 'a'	Let the piano player remain. Have a yearly christmas tree. Include some raised flower beds.	a) a York resident	b) no
RefL40	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	c) no					a) a York resident	b) no

Annex 3	preferred option on	preferred option on the raised area?	3) Do you support the inclusion of limited cafe seating regulated by the council?	If you selected b) above, please suggest another location for the cafe seating:	aspects of the design	aspects of the design	6) Are there any other factors regarding Kings Square that should be considered?	7) Are you	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
RefL41	either option d) no opinion	c) do not support either option d) no opinion (But I suppose raised bumpy paving could be hazardous owing to heavy crowding in York)	c) no				This may not apply particularly to Kings square BUT York is desperately short of toilets in city centre/shops part. Especially since removal of ones in parliament street - I'm surprised M&S hasn't objected to at sometimes resembling public toilets queue. Please could council consider providing more central toilet facilities somewhere urgently	a) a York resident	
RefL42			c) no	Too much in city streets already	Ask Barnitts		Multiple drop deliveries	a) a York resident	b) no
RefL43	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	c) no	,				a) a York resident	b) no
RefL44	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road - Or scrap trees	d) no opinion	c) no	There is quite a lot of outside cafe seating in other city centre locations. If there is space not needed for public performance etc it would be much better to put in additional trees and shrubs.				a) a York resident	b) no

Annex 3	preferred option on the position of trees?	preferred option on the raised area?	3) Do you support the inclusion of limited cafe seating regulated by the council?	If you selected b) above, please suggest another location for the cafe seating:		aspects of the design	regarding Kings Square that should be considered?	7) Are you	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
RefL45	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road - Or scrap trees	a) remove the raised burial area and two raised trees in order to return the space to ground level	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan				Consideration needs to be given to deliveries in that area, both during works and after completion (see letter R32)	c) a business owner	b) no
RefL46	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road - Or scrap trees	a) remove the raised burial area and two raised trees in order to return the space to ground level	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan		The level surfacing in different 'textures'. More performing space and seating	Though its for the		a) a York resident	b) no
RefL47	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	c) no	I am particularly concerned at the Pavement junction of stonebow & St Saviourgate, this area is a disgrace has been neglected for years. If it cannot be maintained then remove the raised beds & replace with tubs & much needed seasting				a) a York resident	a) yes
RefL48	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road - Or scrap trees	a) remove the raised burial area and two raised trees in order to return the space to ground level	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan		It seems a good scheme of benefit to residents and visitors alike.	No.	The cafe' seating needs fair and moderation in its vegulation.	a) a York resident	b) no
RefL49	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	c) no	Plenty of cafe outside provision - elsewhere. & very hard to identify: tiny numbers on 'higher'(?) ground	Better controls of	Colour definition on the maps. No need for cash machine.	No amplified music or other performance	d) employed in York	b) no

Annex 3	1) Which is your preferred option on the position of trees?	2) Which is your preferred option on the raised area?	3) Do you support the inclusion of limited cafe seating regulated by the council?	If you selected b) above, please suggest another location for the cafe seating:	that you particularly	aspects of the design that you particularly dislike?	6) Are there any other factors regarding Kings Square that should be considered?	7) Are you	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
RefL50	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road - Or scrap trees	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan		Historical interprevation, but also please include an information board on	Concrete setts and paving. This heeds	Huge improvements (and savings) could be made by just relaying and cleaning the exisiting paving, with		b) no
				I support cafe seating but the location is NOT shown on the plan		look awful after a few months when covered with dirt and	additional natural stone paving pairs. There will be many burials close beneath the slabs!		
RefL51	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	c) no		Reduction/relocation of phone boxes. Small		It is the natural area to sit and eat takeaway food from the many nearby shops. This is a valued provision and should not be compromised	a) a York resident	
					sets (2b) to match paving		by formal pavement cafe.		c) Prefer not to say
RefL52	difficult - the ash used to be lovely. See attached sheet		shown on the plan	If you put seats at the back of the 'stage' people would use them		Replanting trees nearer the road could cause hazard if drivers could not see pedestrians till the last minute. Don't smooth it all out too much or you will lose the Yorkiness! Keep old paving slabs for	There is a good view down Petergate towards the minster which should not be lost by blocking it with a tree. Performers, even the Morrismen		b) no(t quite)
RefL53	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area	c) no	This would limit the seating area for general public and reduces access + requires removal of trees	Making Roman Fortress Line	Cafe seating for benefit of scroungers and their offspring	Mark line of old church	a) a York resident	b) no

Annex 3	1) Which is your preferred option on the position of trees?	2) Which is your preferred option on the raised area?	3) Do you support the inclusion of limited cafe seating regulated by the council?	If you selected b) above, please suggest another location for the cafe seating:	aspects of the design	aspects of the design that you particularly dislike?		7) Are you	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
RefL54	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road - Or scrap trees	a) remove the raised burial area and two raised trees in order to return the space to ground level	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan				Trees are important in urban areas. All effort made to replace.	a) a York resident	b) no
RefL55	b) leave them positioned where they are	a) remove the raised burial area and two raised trees in order to return the space to ground level	c) no		Removal of parking spaces	Shared spaces where the road is Ivelled with pavements is trendy, but dangerous to pedestrians and	Such a design of a shared space with no clear roadway should only be used if motor vehicles are banned completely during busy times (eg 8.00-18.00)	a) a York resident	b) no
RefL56	c) do not support either option d) no opinion	b) retain but refurbish the raised area (But not lose gravestones)	c) no	You are already leaving far too much clutter - cafe seating would just add to this.	High quality materials	Far too much clutter left in, should move street traders out - add to clutter. Demarkation between 'road' and 'pavement' - this is	New trees would be archeologically damaging - lots of archeology under here; leave raised area - focal point, and preserve gravestones. Be Bolder 0 Get cars out entirely!		b) no
RefL57	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road - Or scrap trees	b) retain but refurbish the raised area (But not lose gravestones)	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan		Dissuade parking + traffic from the area. Better use of available space/level surfacing	,	,	a) a York resident	b) no
RefL58	No trees	a) remove the raised burial area and two raised trees in order to return the space to ground level	c) no	enough cafe's already			Seating for OAPS. Nice Planters	a) a York resident	b) no

Annex 3	preferred option on	preferred option on the raised area?	3) Do you support the inclusion of limited cafe seating regulated by the council?	If you selected b) above, please suggest another location for the cafe seating:	4) Are there any aspects of the design that you particularly like?	_	6) Are there any other factors regarding Kings Square that should be considered?	7) Are you	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
RefL59	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area (But not lose gravestones)	c) no	The trees in their current location provide better screening from the road. The raised area adds character, historical reference and provides impromptu seating and staging.				a) a York resident c) a business owner	
RefL60	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road - Or scrap trees	a) remove the raised burial area and two raised trees in order to return the space to ground level	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan		Level surfacing throughout			a) a York resident	b) no
RefL61	a) remove the two	b) retain but refurbish the raised area (But not lose gravestones)	c) no		Street furniture to prevent vehicular encroachment. Demarkation of the Roman wall and gatehouse is proposed but not shown in the plans	The attachments of lighting equipment to the wired buildings throughout the square	Provision of LOTS of litter bins to avoid its current often filthy state - which includes pidgeon droppings	a) a York resident	b) no
RefL62	b) leave them positioned where they are	a) remove the raised burial area and two raised trees in order to return the space to ground level		This is a public space. There rae already ample opportunities available for commercial interests			Traffic calming, reduction schemes. Retention of space for performers	a) a York resident	b) no

Annex 3	1) Which is your preferred option on the position of trees?	preferred option on the raised area?	3) Do you support the inclusion of limited cafe seating regulated by the council?	If you selected b) above, please suggest another location for the cafe seating:	4) Are there any aspects of the design that you particularly like?	5) Are there any aspects of the design that you particularly dislike?	6) Are there any other factors regarding Kings Square that should be considered?	7) Are you	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
RefL63	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area (But not lose gravestones)	d) no opinion	Cant see much to be gained by moving	The surface definitely needs improving - it is an unsightly mess at present. I walkthrough Kings square regularly, two			a) a York resident	
				trees a few feet. Raised area is good for entertainers - easier to be seen	to three times a week. It will be good to have a more even surface.		If you do plant new trees, they must be well guarded against vandalisation.		
RefL64	b) leave them positioned where they are		c) no		Newly planted tree would probably get vandalised. It is probably all a case of swings and roundabouts.		Road outside Banritts should be resurfaced first before kings square is started. Also: the pidgeons need to be dealt with. Notices put up asking people not to feed them. This is done in other places.		not good and steps & uneven ground
RefL65	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road - Or scrap trees	b) retain but refurbish the raised area (But not lose gravestones)	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan		Wider pavements: easier for wheelchairs. More pedestrian space		The gravestones are a historic feature worth retaining if possible		b) no
RefL66	c) do not support either option	c) do not support either option	d) no opinion					a) a York resident	b) no
RefL67	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area (But not lose gravestones)	shown on the plan	Discourage Pidgeons	That pedestrians and street activities are a priority inc street performances and stalls		Adapt raised area in such away as to open up the shops behind (which at present are hemmed in)	a) a York resident d) employed in York	b) no

Annex 3	1) Which is your preferred option on the position of trees?	the raised area?	3) Do you support the inclusion of limited cafe seating regulated by the council?	If you selected b) above, please suggest another location for the cafe seating:	4) Are there any aspects of the design that you particularly like?	5) Are there any aspects of the design that you particularly dislike?	6) Are there any other factors regarding Kings Square that should be considered?	7) Are you	8) Do you have any mobility impairments?
RefL68	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road - Or scrap trees	b) retain but refurbish the raised area (But not lose gravestones)	a) yes, in the location shown on the plan	How is cafe seating to be operated/maintained? - which shops to have responsibility or benefit of these arrangements?	Desires to maintain performance space,		Install smaller postbox, move atm and phone box away from kings square. Dont put seating below trees	a) a York resident d) employed in York	b) no
RefL69	b) leave them positioned where they are	b) retain but refurbish the raised area (But not lose gravestones)	c) no			A tree planted at the apex of the square would obscure the view of petersgate	Disabled access into the square	a) a York resident	b) no
RefL70	a) remove the two ground level trees if we can add replacement trees further out towards the road - Or scrap trees	c) do not support either option	c) no	If there are tables outside York Chocolate Story the other cafes may want the same			Why not flatten the raised area but replaced it with a circular _band stand type) area, in the centre of the square	a) a York resident d) employed in York	b) no